

# Lattices and LLL

Lecturer: Santosh Vempala    Scribe: Digvijay Boob

## 1 Lattice

A lattice can be defined in following two ways:

**Definition 1.1 (Lattice).** A lattice  $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a discrete additive set. A set  $L$  is

- **discrete** if  $\forall x \in L, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta) \cap L = \{x\}$ ;
- **additive** if  $x, y \in L \Rightarrow x + y, x - y \in L$ ;

**Definition 1.2 (Lattice).** A lattice is the set of all integer linear combinations of set of vectors.

**Definition 1.3 (Basis of Lattice).** A **basis** for  $L$  is a list  $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  of linearly independent vectors such that  $L = L(B) = \{x.B \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ . Typically,  $B \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  or even  $\mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ . (We can define  $m$ -dimensional  $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $m < n$ , but we can reduce this to the full-dimensional case).

For rest of the discussion,  $K$  is a centrally symmetric convex set.

**Theorem 1.1.**  $\text{vol}(K) \geq 2^n \Rightarrow K$  contains an integer point

**Proof.** Let  $tK := \{tX : X \in K\}$ . At every  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we place a copy of  $\frac{1}{2}K$ . Notice that  $\text{vol}(\frac{1}{2}K) \geq 1$ . Since this is standard integer lattice

$$\exists y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ s.t. } \{y_1 + \frac{1}{2}K\} \cap \{y_2 + \frac{1}{2}K\} \neq \emptyset$$

This is because maximum volume you can pack around every integer point without having an intersection is exactly a unit hypercube centred at that integer point.

$$\{y_1 + \frac{1}{2}K\} \cap \{y_2 + \frac{1}{2}K\} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \{\frac{1}{2}K\} \cap \{y_2 - y_1 + \frac{1}{2}K\} \neq \emptyset$$

Let  $z := y_2 - y_1$  and  $w \in \{\frac{1}{2}K\} \cap \{z + \frac{1}{2}K\}$ , then we have  $w \in \frac{1}{2}K$  as well as  $w - z \in \frac{1}{2}K$  but since  $K$  is centrally symmetric we have  $z - w \in \frac{1}{2}K$ . By convexity of set  $K$ , we get  $\frac{1}{2}w + \frac{1}{2}(z - w) = \frac{1}{2}z \in \frac{1}{2}K \Rightarrow z \in K$  but notice that  $z$  is an integral point.  $\square$

**Definition 1.4.**  $\Lambda_1(K) := \{t : tK \text{ contains a non-zero integral point}\}$

**Theorem 1.2.**  $\Lambda_1(K) \leq 2\text{vol}(K)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$

**Proof.** Let  $tK$  contains a non-zero integral point. Then  $\text{vol}(tK) = t^n \text{vol}(K)$ . Using Theorem 1.1, we get that

$$t^n \text{vol}(K) = 2^n \Rightarrow t = 2\text{vol}(K)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

So we get  $\Lambda_1(K) \leq 2\text{vol}(K)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$  □

We can think of  $\Lambda$  as distance measure defined on centrally symmetric convex body,  $K$ . Value  $\Lambda$  signifies the minimum dilation of  $K$  is required to the given point whose distance measure is under consideration. A specific case is when  $K$  is a unit ball, distance measure in that case is 2-norm.

**Definition 1.5.**  $\Lambda_i(K) := \{t : tK \text{ contains } i \text{ linearly independent integral points}\}$

**Theorem 1.3.**  $\Lambda_1(K) \dots \Lambda_n(K) \leq \frac{2^n}{\text{vol}(K)}$

Let  $\mathcal{L}(b_1, \dots, b_n) = AZ^n$ ,  $A$  is linear transformation mapping standard integer lattice to lattice formed by basis  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ . So  $\text{rank}(A) = n$ .

**Definition 1.6.**  $\Lambda_1(\mathcal{L}, K) := \min\{t : tK \cap \mathcal{L} \setminus \{0\} \neq \phi\}$

Notice that  $\Lambda_1(\mathcal{L}, B^n) =$  Shortest nonzero vector in  $\mathcal{L}$  (SVP), where  $B^n$  is n-dimensional euclidean ball.

**Theorem 1.4 (Minkowski's first theorem).**  $\Lambda_1(\mathcal{L}, K) \leq 2 \left( \frac{\det(A)}{\text{vol}(K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$

**Proof.** Notice that since  $A$  is invertible matrix, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \cap tK \neq \phi &\Leftrightarrow A^{-1}\mathcal{L} \cap tA^{-1}K \neq \phi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \cap tA^{-1}K \neq \phi \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{vol}(A^{-1}K) = \frac{\text{vol}(K)}{\det(A)}$$

Now applying theorem 1.2, we get minimum value of  $t$  is  $\Lambda_1(A^{-1}K) \Rightarrow \Lambda_1(\mathcal{L}, K) \leq 2 \left( \frac{\det(A)}{\text{vol}(K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$  □

**Theorem 1.5 (Minkowski's second theorem).**  $\Lambda_1(\mathcal{L}, K) \dots \Lambda_n(\mathcal{L}, K) \leq \frac{2^n \det(A)}{\text{vol}(K)}$

**Proof.** Using the same argument as in the previous proof, we replace  $\text{vol}(K)$  in theorem 1.3 by  $\frac{\text{vol}(K)}{\det(A)}$  to get the required result. □

**Claim 1.6.** If columns of matrix  $B$  are basis of full dimensional lattice  $\mathcal{L}(B)$  then  $\forall U$  unimodular,  $\mathcal{L}(BU) = \mathcal{L}(B)$

**Proof.** Since  $U$  is unimodular matrix, columns of  $BU$  are formed by elementary (unimodular) columns transformation of columns of  $B$ . So any lattice point in  $\mathcal{L}(BU)$  can be written as integral combination of columns of  $B$ . So we get  $\mathcal{L}(BU) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(B)$ .

Now  $B = (BU)U^{-1}$ . Clearly  $U^{-1}$  is integral matrix as all entries of  $\text{adj}(U)$  are integral and  $|\det(U)| = 1$ . So we get columns of  $B$  are elementary columns operations on columns of  $BU$ . so every point in  $B$  can be written as integral combination of columns in  $BU \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(BU)$   $\square$

## 2 Diophantine Approximations

**Theorem 2.1 (Dirichlet's Approximation theorem).** *Given real numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are  $p_1, \dots, p_n, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $Q \leq \epsilon^{-n}$  s.t.  $|p_i - \alpha_i q| \leq \epsilon$  and  $|q| \leq Q$*

**Proof.** We have that

$$-\epsilon \leq p_i - \alpha_i q \leq \epsilon, \forall i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \frac{\epsilon}{Q} |q| \leq \epsilon$$

We can write this in matrix form as

$$\epsilon e \leq \begin{bmatrix} I & -\alpha \\ \mathbf{0} & \epsilon/Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} \leq \epsilon e$$

where  $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^T$  and  $e$  is vector of all 1. Lets call the matrix in the above eq as  $A$ . So we get that  $\mathcal{K} := \|Ax\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$  must have at least one integer solution. Clearly  $\mathcal{K}$  is centrally symmetric convex set. So from Minkowski's first theorem, we get that  $\text{vol}(\mathcal{K}) \geq 2^{n+1}$  for it to have an integer solution. So we get that  $\text{vol}(\mathcal{K}) = \frac{(2\epsilon)^{n+1}}{|\det(A)|} \geq 2^{n+1}$  But  $|\det(A)| = \epsilon/Q$  So the least value of  $Q$  we need to get an integral solution is  $\epsilon^{-n}$ .  $\square$

## 3 Gauss's 2D basis reduction algorithm

**Input:**  $b_1, b_2$ . Without loss of generality,  $\|b_2\| \geq \|b_1\|$

1. Find  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $\|b_2 - mb_1\|$  is minimised. ( $m$  is closest integer to  $\frac{b_2 \cdot b_1}{\|b_1\|^2}$ ). Let  $b'_2 = b_2 - mb_1$
2. If  $\|b'_2\| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|b_1\|$  then STOP. Else swap between  $b_1, b'_2$  and repeat.

**Lemma 3.1.** *At the end of this algorithm, we have  $\|b_2^*\| \geq \sqrt{\left((1 - \epsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)} \|b_1^*\|$*

**Proof.** When we stop the angle between  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  must be  $\geq \frac{\pi}{3}$  otherwise we can do the reduction once more which will reduce the length of longer vector. So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_2\| &\geq (1 - \epsilon)\|b_1\| \text{ and } |b_2 \cdot b_1| \leq \frac{b_1 \cdot b_1}{2} \\ \Rightarrow \|b_2^*\| &\geq \sqrt{\left((1 - \epsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)} \|b_1^*\| \end{aligned}$$

□

Since, in every iteration the length of shortest vector is reduced by a constant factor of  $(1 - \epsilon)$ . So number of iterations is  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log(\|b_1\|))$  where  $\|b_1\|$  is the length of initial vector.

## 4 LLL

In LLL algorithm, we use Gauss' 2-D algorithm along with the property that  $\|b_{i+1}^*\| \geq \delta \|b_i^*\|$ . The algorithm is as follows:

1. Compute  $b_1^*, \dots, b_n^*$
2. If there is an  $i$  s.t.  $\|b_{i+1}^*\| < \delta \|b_i^*\|$ , define  $x, y$  as projections of these vectors to space orthogonal to  $V_{i-1} = \text{span}\{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}\}$ . Apply Gauss' 2D-algorithm with parameter  $\epsilon$  to  $x$  and  $y$  to get vector  $u$  and  $v$ . Let  $U$  be the unimodular matrix obtained in this basis reduction using elementary operation i.e.

$$U \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

3. set

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ b_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} = U \begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ b_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Repeat 1,2,3 as long as possible.

**Theorem 4.1 (LLL [1]).** *The basis reduction procedure applied with parameters  $\delta \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$  and  $\epsilon$  s.t.  $\delta^2 < (1 - \epsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{4}$ , to a given starting basis of any lattice,  $L$ , results in a basis  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  s.t.*

1.  $\|b_1\| \leq \delta^{-n} \Lambda_1(L)$
2.  $\|b_1\| \|b_2\| \dots \|b_n\| \leq \delta^{-n^2} \|b_1^*\| \|b_2^*\| \dots \|b_n^*\|$

**Proof.** We know that at the end of the algorithm,  $\|b_{i+1}^*\| \geq \delta \|b_i^*\|, \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . So we get that  $\|b_j^*\| \geq \delta^{j-1} \|b_1^*\|$ . Let  $v$  be the shortest vector in  $L$ . Then  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i b_i^*$ . So

$$\Lambda_1 = \|v\| \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$$

$\|b_i^*\| \geq \|b_n^*\| \geq \delta^{n-1} \|b_1^*\|$ . So we get  $\|b_1^*\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta^{n-1}} \Lambda_1$

Suppose at the end of LLL we have reduced basis  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ . Component of  $b_i$  in  $V_{i-1} = b_i - b_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j b_j^*$  where each  $|\alpha_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  as we have a reduced basis. So  $\|b_i\| \leq \|b_i^*\| + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |\alpha_j| \|b_j^*\| \leq$

$\|b_i^*\| \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{i-j}\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^n \|b_i^*\|$ . So we get that orthogonality defect

$$:= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|}{\prod_{i=1}^n \|b_i^*\|} \leq \delta^{-n}$$

□

**Theorem 4.2.** *Number of iteration in LLL algorithm is polynomial*

**Proof.** Suppose we have  $b_i, b_{i+1}$  at the start of some iteration which are reduced into  $\widehat{b}_i, \widehat{b}_{i+1}$  at the end of iteration. We know that  $\|b_i^*\| \cdot \|b_{i+1}^*\| = \|\widehat{b}_i^*\| \cdot \|\widehat{b}_{i+1}^*\|$ . Also, since it was needed to reduce this pair implies  $b_{i+1}^* < \delta b_i^*$ . At the end of iteration, we have output of 2-D gauss algorithm. So we know that  $\|\widehat{b}_{i+1}^*\| \geq \sqrt{(1-\epsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{4}} \cdot \|\widehat{b}_i^*\|$ . So using these three inequalities we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \|b_i^*\|^2 &> \|b_i^*\| \cdot \|b_{i+1}^*\| = \|\widehat{b}_i^*\| \cdot \|\widehat{b}_{i+1}^*\| \geq \sqrt{(1-\epsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{4}} \cdot \|\widehat{b}_i^*\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\|b_i^*\|}{\|\widehat{b}_i^*\|} &> \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{(1-\epsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}}{\delta}} \end{aligned}$$

So look at the quantity

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \|\widehat{b}_i^*\|^{n-i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \|b_i^*\|^{n-i}} = \frac{\|\widehat{b}_i^*\|^{n-i} \|\widehat{b}_{i+1}^*\|^{n-i-1}}{\|b_i^*\|^{n-i} \|b_{i+1}^*\|^{n-i-1}} = \frac{\|\widehat{b}_i^*\|}{\|b_i^*\|} < \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{(1-\epsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}}}$$

This is a constant fraction change in each iteration. So number of iteration is  $O(\log\{\|b_1\|^{n^2}\})$   
□

**Definition 4.1.** *The covering radius of a lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to a convex body  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $\mu(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}) = \min\{t : t\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{R}^n\}$*

**Definition 4.2.** *The dual of convex body  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as*

$$\mathcal{K}^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \leq 1, \forall y \in \mathcal{K}\}$$

**Definition 4.3.** *The dual lattice of  $\mathcal{L}$  is*

$$\mathcal{L}^* = \{x \in \text{span}(\mathcal{L}) : x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall y \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

**Theorem 4.3 (transference).** *For any lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  and convex body  $\mathcal{K}$  both in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,*

$$\mu(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}) \Lambda_1((\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K})^*, \mathcal{L}^*) \leq C n^{4/3} \log(n)$$

**Theorem 4.4 (Integer Programming [2]).** *Integer Linear Programming can be solved in time  $n^{O(n)}$  times a polynomial in the input size.*

## References

- [1] A. K. Lenstra, H. W. Lenstra, and L. Lovász. Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. *Mathematische Annalen*, 261(4):515–534.
- [2] R. Kannan. Algorithmic geometry of numbers. *Annual reviews of computer science*, 2:231–267, 1987.